



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/687,951	10/13/2000	Jeffrey I. Cleland	M-9177-US	8871
26263	7590 05/26/2004		EXAM	INER
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			KAM, CHIH MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		1653	
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    CELAND ET AL.   Examiner   Chih-Min Kam   1653		Application No.	/ Applicant(s)
## Examiner   Art Unit   1653    - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elements of time may be available under the provisions of 3°C FR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may anyly be timely field what SIX (a) MONTH from the maling date of the communication.  If NO period for really is specified above, the maximum statutory period will not be statutory minimum of their (30) date six (b) MONTHS from the maling date of the communication.  If NO period for really is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply anti-vill lepile SIX (8) MONTHS from the maling date of the communication.  If NO period for really is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified in the period period for really is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above. The maximum statutory period will apply show the specified in the communication.  If NO period for really is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified in the communication.  If NO period for really is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above. The maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above. The specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply show the specified above	1	, ,	/   "
Chih-Min Kam   1653	Office Action Summany	09/687,951	CLELAND ET AL.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederations of the may be waited used for the proximal of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply bet timely filed other 50x (b) MCMTHS from the mealing date of this communication of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply bet timely filed other 50x (b) MCMTHS from the mealing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by attaints, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the 60th date then the motion between the state of the communication, seen it limitly filed, may reduce any course plates them suparament. See 97 (3 H 1.734(b)).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on @8 March 2004.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 17, 20-23, 25-31 and 33-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 17, 20, 21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 22, 30, 31, 36 and 37 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 22, 30, 31, 36 and 37 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 22, 30, 31, 36 and 37 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The oration of drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The drawing of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ □ Certified c	Omice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of the major was available under the provision of 27 CFR 1.13(a).  Extensions of the provision of the provision of 28 CFR 1.13(a).  Pallor to reply which the side of extended period for region at shadory period vill apply and vill expire 3X (e) MOXTHS from the mailing date of this communication, seen if timely filed, may reduce any same application is provision of 28 CFR 1.13(a).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication (s) filed on 08 March 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17.20.23.25-31 and 33-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 27.20.21.23.25-29.34 and 33-37 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 22.30.31.36 and 37 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  11) All b) Some * 0   More of			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherisot of binning be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(4). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed before the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(4). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed before the provision of the provisio		tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 March 2004</u> .  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17, 20-23, 25-31 and 33-37</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)□ Claim(s) <u>17, 20, 21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☑ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) di  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after	ATION.  FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s)  17, 20-23, 25-31 and 33-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s)  17, 20-21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s)  22, 30, 31.36 and 37 is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s)  22, 30, 31.36 and 37 is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s)  22, 30, 31.36 and 37 is/are objected to.  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b	Status		
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s)  17, 20-23, 25-31 and 33-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s)  17, 20-21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s)  22, 30, 31.36 and 37 is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s)  22, 30, 31.36 and 37 is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s)  22, 30, 31.36 and 37 is/are objected to.  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>08 March 2004</i> .	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 17,20-23,25-31 and 33-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17, 20, 21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 22,30,31,36 and 37 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 22,30,31,36 and 37 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4)	, <u>-</u>	<del>_</del>	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17.20-23.25-31 and 33-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17. 20. 21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 22.30.31.36 and 37 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 17, 20, 21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35 is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) 22,30,31,36 and 37 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  B) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims		
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>17, 20, 21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 37</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>22,30,31,36 and 37</u> is/are objective.	withdrawn from consideration.  35_ is/are rejected. ected to.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Tana/or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  30 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	•	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  So ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) △ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  **Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  **Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-152)		familiar militarity and a 05 H O O O	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority doc</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority doc</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li> </ul>	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		immary (PTO-413)
, ===	2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	.948) Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date

Art Unit: 1653

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Claims

1. Claims 17, 20-23, 25-31 and 33-37 are pending.

Applicants' response filed on March 8, 2004 is acknowledged and has been fully considered. Claims 20, 30 and 31 have been amended, and claims 17, 20-23, 25-31 and 33-37 are examined.

#### Rejection(s) Withdrawn

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The previous rejection of claims 21-31, 33 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claim and applicants' response at pages 6-7 in the amendment filed March 8, 2004.
- 3. The previous rejection of claims 20-23, 25-31, 33, 34 and 36 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claim and applicants' response at pages 7-8 in the amendment filed March 8, 2004.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 23 recites the limitation "physiological saline" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because saline is a NaCl

Art Unit: 1653

solution and is not per se a buffer solution, see "a physiological buffer" in claim 21, which requires dissolving in a buffer.

6. Claim 26 is indefinite because the claim has the same scope as claim 25, it appears that the listed claim 26 is not the same as the previous claim 26. Claims 25 and 26 have 100% word identity.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 17, 21, 25-29 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McGinity *et al.* (US Patent 5,288,502, February 22, 1994).

McGinity *et al.* teach a preparation of multi-phase polymeric microspheres containing a molecular compound dispersed in a polymeric matrix and having particle

Art Unit: 1653

size about 150 microns can be administered intramuscularly (Fig. 1, column 8, lines 48-62), wherein the molecular compound can be a water soluble drug or protein (e.g., epidermal growth factor, LHRH, monoclonal antibody, column 6, lines 21-41; claims 28, 29 and 35) in a microemulsion of a fixed oil and an aqueous solution of microspheres (column 4, line 61-column 5, line 2), a biodegradable polymer such as poly(lactic acid) (PLA) and poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) is used as a polymeric matrix (column 6, line 59-column 7, line 2; claims 25-27), and some modification of the preparation of microspheres can be made, e.g., the pH of the aqueous phase containing the water soluble drugs can be adjusted using acid or phosphate buffer and a stabilizing agent such as hyaluronic acid can be added to enhance the stability of the protein (Example 7, claims 17 and 21).

In response, applicants indicates McGinity *et al.* teach the use of hyaluronic acid as a stabilizing agent for multiphase polymeric microspheres, and hyaluronic acid is one of the listed stabilizing agents, and there is no description nor suggestion that hyaluronic acid be included in a physiological buffer of an injectable formulation for injection in smaller needles (pages 8-9 of the response). The response has been fully considered, however, the argument is not found persuasive because the reference indicates the adjustment of pH of the aqueous phase and stabilizing agent for drugs may be necessary in order to enhance the stability of the protein for the multi-phase polymeric microspheres (i.e., microemusion; column 27, lines 61-64), which can be administered intramuscularly (column 8, lines 48-62), and phosphate is cited as the buffer for pH adjustment, and hyaluronic acid is cited as an example of stabilizing agent, thus hyaluronic acid and phosphate buffer would be included in the formulation for certain

Art Unit: 1653

proteins, which meets the criteria of the claimed formulation. Since the claims do not cite the limitation of using smaller needles for injection, it is not necessary for the reference to suggest the inclusion of hyaluronic acid will allow for injection in smaller needles. Furthermore, the formulation containing hyaluronic acid would be expected to have the unexpected and surprising properties of hyaluronic acid.

8. Claims 17, 21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cleland *et al.* (US Patent 6,113,947, filed June 13, 1997).

Cleland *et al.* teach a nerve growth factor (NGF) microencapsulation composition having controlled release characteristics, wherein NGF is dispersed in a polymeric matrix of microspheres, microparticulates and microcapsules (column 2, lines 8-67; column 4, line 62-column 5, line 2; claims 28 and 29) and the microspheres are sieved to about 20-90 microns for injecting intramuscularly (column 19, lines 38-45; column 19, line 63-column 20, line 4; column 23, lines 53-63), and a biodegradable polymer such as a copolymer of lactic acid and glycolic acid (PLGA) is used a polymeric matrix (column 4, lines 24-32, Example 1; claims 25-27). The preferred injectable sustained-release preparation can be formulated with a viscous physiologically acceptable solution including a dispersant such as sodium hyaluronate, a preservative, an isotonizing agent such as NaCl, and a local anesthetic to provide an aqueous suspension (column 19, lines 47-62, claims 17, 21, 23, 34, 35).

In response to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103(a) over Cleland *et al.* alone or in combination with Aldrich catalog, applicants indicates Cleland *et al.* teach the use of hyaluronic acid as a dispersant in a viscous physiologically acceptable solution, and hyaluronic acid is one of the listed dispersants, given the breadth of the list, the

Art Unit: 1653

reference cannot be considered to anticipate the present invention, and the unexpected and surprising properties of hyaluronic acid, which allow injection in much smaller needles demonstrates the unobviousness of the claimed invention (page 8 of the response). The response has been fully considered, however, the argument is not found persuasive because the reference indicates to prepare an injection formulation containing the microsphere, a viscous physiologically acceptable solution of a dispersant may be included in the formulation, and hyaluronic acid is as one of the seven specific dispersants cited, which is not very broad considering the breadth of the list, thus the reference anticipates the claimed invention. Since the claims do not cite the limitation of using smaller needles for injection, it is not necessary to consider this issue.

Furthermore, the formulation containing hyaluronic acid would be expected to have the unexpected and surprising properties of hyaluronic acid.

9. Claims 17, 21, 23, 25-28 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki *et al.* (US Patent 6,197,326, filed October 14, 1998).

Suzuki *et al.* teach an intra-articular preparation for the treatment of arthropathy, which comprises microcapsules of a biocompatible, high molecular weight substance such as PLGA, homopolymer or copolymer of lactic acid, glycolic acid, caprolactone and others (column 1, lines 45-60; column 2, line 66-column 3, line 30; claims 25-27), and a drug such as steroid agents, cyclosporin (a cyclic peptide; claims 17 and 28), hyaluronic acid (column 3, lines 44-64); and the microcapsules can be administered in the form of injection by suspending it in a dispersion medium, where injection-grade water may be used as the dispersion medium, further, a buffer, an isotonicity (e.g., NaCl; claim 23), and others can be added, particularly a microcapsule-dispersing medium which contains

Art Unit: 1653

hyaluronic acid, or chondroitin sulfate or salts thereof is particularly preferred (column 4, line 60-column 5, line 8; claims 21 and 34).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 17, 20, 21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cleland *et al.* (US Patent 6,113,947) in view of syringe section (page T515) of Aldrich catalog (1996-1997).

Cleland *et al.* teach a nerve growth factor (NGF) microencapsulation composition having controlled release characteristics, wherein NGF is dispersed in a polymeric matrix of microspheres, microparticulates and microcapsules (column 2, lines 8-67; column 4, line 62-column 5, line 2; claims 28 and 29) and the microspheres are sieved to about 20-90 microns for injecting intramuscularly (column 19, lines 38-45; column 19, line 63-column 20, line 4; column 23, lines 53-63), a biodegradable polymer such as a copolymer of lactic acid and glycolic acid (PLGA) is used a polymeric matrix (column 4, lines 24-32, Example 1; claims 25-27), and the preferred injectable sustained-release preparation can be formulated with a viscous physiologically acceptable solution including a dispersant such as sodium hyaluronate, a preservative, an isotonizing agent such as NaCl, and a local anesthetic to provide an aqueous suspension (column 19, lines 47-62, claims 17, 21, 23, 34, 35). However, Cleland *et al.* does not disclose the type of syringe needle used for injection. The Aldrich catalog shows a 23-gauge syringe needle has an inside

Art Unit: 1653

diameter of 0.318 mm (318 microns), which is suitable for injection of the particulate preparation with particle size of 5-200 microns (claim 20). At the time of invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to administer the microsphere preparation containing NGF to a patient as taught by Cleland *et al.* using a syringe of 23-gauge needle as indicated in the Aldrich catalog because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to deliver the formulation using the needles having the right gauge, e.g., 23-gauge or smaller. Thus, the combined references result in the claimed invention and was, as a whole, prima facie obvious at the time the claimed invention was made.

11. Claims 22, 30, 31, 36 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

12. Claims 17, 20, 21, 23, 25-29, 34 and 35 are rejected, and claims 22, 30, 31, 36 and 37 are objected to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 308-4227 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1653

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D.

CMK

Patent Examiner

\*\*\*

May 19, 2004

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600